

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,582	02/12/2001	John P. Rebhorn	5515USA	9717
759	***************************************			
DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA 701 Building, Suite 1250 701 Fourth Avenue South			EXAMINER  MADSEN, ROBERT A	
			1761	1/
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>—</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advis ry Action	09/781,582	REBHORN ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL ING DATE And	Robert Madsen	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI-	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. ition. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the transition of the transition of the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF extension and the corresponding amounts shortened statutory period for reply of the state of the stat	edate of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension int of the fee. The appropriate extension			
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		ae NOTE bolow):			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		ally reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding number of fin	ally rejected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		any rejected dialities.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would b canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reapplication in condition for allowance because:	<u>_·</u>				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment of t	) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)⊑ Id be rejected is provided below	] will be entered and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	er appendou.			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.					
. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	,			
0. Other:					
Patent and Trademark Office					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 22 and 35 that includes the limitation of "the first compartment opening is defined by a spout integrall formed as one piece with the first compartment" would require further consideration and or search. With respect to applicant's argument that Stegath does not meet the "abut" limitation, taking the "spout" and "mouth" to comprise the portion of the container that is tapered Stegath meets the limitation (See Figure 1). With respect to applicant's argument that Jaarsma is not tapered and does not form a spout, see figure 7 58 A and 36A, for example. With respect to applicant's argument that Ness does not teach a "spout", the spout may be viewed as the narrowing portion of 18 and thus providing a means through which liquid is conveyed (i.e. a conduit). If applicant intends to argue that a spout is limited to a cylindrical shape, it is noted that applicant's Figure 5c is not cylindrical (i.e. does not have circular profile).

MILTON I. CANO

the Row

SUPERVISORY PATEUT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700